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INSTRUCTIONS
• Use black ink.
• Complete the boxes above with your name, centre number and candidate number.
• Answer either Question 1 or Question 2 in Section A and Question 3 in Section B.
• Write your answer to each question on the Answer Booklet.
• Do not write in the bar codes.

INFORMATION
• The total mark for this paper is 50.
• The marks for each question are shown in brackets [ ].
• Quality of extended responses will be assessed in questions marked with an asterisk (*).
• This document consists of 4 pages.
Answer **ONE** question

**EITHER**

1* To what extent were political grievances the main reason for growing hostility to British rule by 1776?

2* ‘British weakness was the main reason for American victory in the War of Independence.’ How far do you agree?
Section B

3 Read the interpretation and then answer the question that follows:

‘Foreign Policy left Americans far more divided in 1796 than in 1789.’


Evaluate the strengths and limitations of this interpretation, making reference to other interpretations that you have studied. [20]
MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

PREPARATION FOR MARKING

SCORIS
1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on–screen marking: scoris assessor Online Training; OCR Essential Guide to Marking.
2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal http://www.rm.com/support/ca
3. Log–in to scoris and mark the required number of practice responses (“scripts”) and the required number of standardisation responses.
   YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

TRADITIONAL
Before the Standardisation meeting you must mark at least 10 scripts from several centres. For this preliminary marking you should use pencil and follow the mark scheme. Bring these marked scripts to the meeting.

MARKING
1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the scoris 50% and 100% (traditional 50% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone, email or via the scoris messaging system.
5. Work crossed out:
   a. where a candidate crosses out an answer and provides an alternative response, the crossed out response is not marked and gains no marks
   b. if a candidate crosses out an answer to a whole question and makes no second attempt, and if the inclusion of the answer does not cause a rubric infringement, the assessor should attempt to mark the crossed out answer and award marks appropriately.

6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.

7. There is a NR (No Response) option. Award NR (No Response)
   - if there is nothing written at all in the answer space
   - OR if there is a comment which does not in any way relate to the question (e.g. ‘can’t do’, ‘don’t know’)
   - OR if there is a mark (e.g. a dash, a question mark) which isn’t an attempt at the question.

   Note: Award 0 marks – for an attempt that earns no credit (including copying out the question).

8. The scoris comments box is used by your Team Leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. Do not use the comments box for any other reason.
   If you have any questions or comments for your Team Leader, use the phone, the scoris messaging system, or e-mail.

9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.

10. For answers marked by levels of response:
    a. To determine the level – start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
    b. To determine the mark within the level, consider the following:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Award mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the borderline of this level and the one below</td>
<td>At bottom of level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just enough achievement on balance for this level</td>
<td>Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency</td>
<td>Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistently meets the criteria for this level</td>
<td>At top of level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **Annotations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. **Subject–specific Marking Instructions**

**INTRODUCTION**

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet *Instructions for Examiners*. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.
USING THE MARK SCHEME

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide ‘correct’ answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide ‘best guesses’ about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

The Examiners’ Standardisation Meeting will ensure that the Mark Scheme covers the range of candidates’ responses to the questions, and that all Examiners understand and apply the Mark Scheme in the same way. The Mark Scheme will be discussed and amended at the meeting, and administrative procedures will be confirmed. Co–ordination scripts will be issued at the meeting to exemplify aspects of candidates’ responses and achievements; the co–ordination scripts then become part of this Mark Scheme.

Before the Standardisation Meeting, you should read and mark in pencil a number of scripts, in order to gain an impression of the range of responses and achievement that may be expected.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.
INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXAMINERS

1  The co–ordination scripts provide you with examples of the standard of each band. The marks awarded for these scripts will have been agreed by the Team Leaders and will be discussed fully at the Examiners’ Co–ordination Meeting.

2  The specific task–related indicative content for each question will help you to understand how the band descriptors may be applied. However, this indicative content does not constitute the mark scheme: it is material that candidates might use, grouped according to each assessment objective tested by the question. Rigid demands for ‘what must be a good answer’ would lead to a distorted assessment.

3  Candidates’ answers must be relevant to the question. Beware of prepared answers that do not show the candidate’s thought and which have not been adapted to the thrust of the question. Beware also of answers where candidates attempt to reproduce interpretations and concepts that they have been taught but have only partially understood.
AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic mark scheme for Section A, Questions 1 and 2: Essay [30]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 5</strong>&lt;br&gt;25–30 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 4</strong>&lt;br&gt;19–24 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong>&lt;br&gt;13–18 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong>&lt;br&gt;7–12 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong>&lt;br&gt;1–6 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AO3: Analyse and evaluate, in relation to the historical context, different ways in which aspects of the past have been interpreted.

**Generic mark scheme for Section B, Question 3: Interpretation [20]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>17–20 marks</th>
<th>The answer has a very good analysis of the interpretation. It uses detailed and relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows thorough understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of detailed examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a well-supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>13–16 marks</td>
<td>The answer has a good analysis of the interpretation. It uses relevant knowledge of the historical context and good understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>9–12 marks</td>
<td>The answer has a partial analysis of the interpretation. It uses some relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows partial understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The evaluation may be un-even with only limited treatment of either limitations or strengths, but both will be addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>5–8 marks</td>
<td>The answer has a limited analysis of the interpretation. It uses generalised knowledge of the historical context and shows limited understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of generalised reference to other interpretations, in order to produce a limited evaluation of the given interpretation. The evaluation may deal with either strengths or limitations in a very superficial way, or may only address limitations or strengths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>1–4 marks</td>
<td>The answer has a very limited analysis of the interpretation which may be descriptive and relate more to the topic area than the detail of the interpretation. It uses very limited and generalised knowledge of the historical context and shows very limited or no understanding of the wider historical debate, with reference to other interpretations being implicit or lacking, in order to produce a very simplistic, asserted evaluation of the given interpretation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
<td>No evidence of understanding or reference to the interpretation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question** | **Answer** | **Marks** | **Guidance**
--- | --- | --- | ---
1* | To what extent were political grievances the main reason for growing hostility to British rule by 1776?  
- In arguing that political grievances were the main reason, answers might analyse other factors such as political grievances over the relationship between the American colonies and the English Crown and Parliament and the extent of their authority in America.  
- Answers might discuss coercive English actions in the colonies, such as the Intolerable Acts.  
- In arguing that political grievances were not the main reason, answers might comment on the many new taxes introduced after the Seven Years War, including the Sugar Act, Townsend Duties and Tea Act, and American reactions to them.  
- However, answers might also comment that taxation represented a broader, underlying grievance about the authority of the English Parliament in the American colonies.  
- Answers might discuss military factors including the Quartering Act and Boston Massacre.  
- Answers might discuss religious grievances and fears of Roman Catholicism, for example the Quebec Act.  
- Answers might discuss the importance of key individuals in generating hostility to British rule, e.g. Jefferson, Dickenson and Adams. | 30 | - No set answer is expected.  
- At Level 5 there will be judgement as to the relative importance of the reasons.  
- At level 5 answers might establish criteria against which to judge the relative importance of the reasons.  
- To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions.  
- Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme. |
### Question 2*

‘British weakness was the main reason for American victory in the War of Independence.’ How far do you agree?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 30    | No set answer is expected.  
|       | At Level 5 there will be judgement as to the relative importance of the reasons.  
|       | At level 5 answers might establish criteria against which to judge the relative importance of the reasons.  
|       | To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions.  
|       | Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme. |

- **In arguing that British weakness was the main reason**, answers might discuss British military weaknesses and the strategic challenge of crushing a distant rebellion.
- Answers might discuss British supply problems.
- Answers might discuss the changing nature and extent of support for Britain from Americans.
- **In arguing that British weakness was not the main reason**, answers might comment on the increased military resources the French entry into the War made available, its impact on bringing the Dutch and Spanish into the War on the side of America and the international strategic problems the alliance brought for Britain.
- Answers might consider American strength such as the leadership of Washington and/or the role of other key individuals.
- Answers might discuss the changing nature and extent of support for the rebels from Americans.
- Answers might discuss the development of the Continental Army and importance of key military turning points, e.g. Saratoga, Monmouth, Yorktown.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>‘Foreign Policy left Americans far more divided in 1796 than in 1789.’ From: Paul Boyer, <em>The Enduring Vision</em>, 4th Edition, 2000 Evaluate the strengths and limitations of this interpretation, making reference to other interpretations that you have studied. • This historical debate centres around the extent to which Americans were united or divided by 1796 (Washington’s final year as President), and the origins of what divisions there were. • In analysing and evaluating the strengths and limitations of the interpretation, answers might consider the unequivocal argument that the years between independence and 1796 saw the USA become more divided, as well as the attribution of this to foreign policy. • In analysing and evaluating the strengths of the given interpretation, answers might use knowledge and understanding of: • Northern and Southern reactions to the French Revolution • the extensive criticism levelled at Washington in 1796–1797</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>• No set answer is expected. • Candidates must use their knowledge and understanding of the historical context and the wider historical debate surrounding the issue to analyse and evaluate the given interpretation. • Candidates must refer to at least one other interpretation. • The quality of analysis and evaluation of the interpretations should be considered when assigning answers to a level, not the quantity of other interpretations included in the answer. • Other interpretations considered as part of evaluation and analysis do not need to be attributed to specific named historians, but they must be recognisable historical interpretations, rather than the candidate’s own viewpoint. • Answers may include more on strengths or more on limitations and there is no requirement for a 50/50 split in the evaluation, however for level 5 there should be well-supported evaluation of both and for level 4 supported evaluation of both, in line with levels descriptors. • Candidates are not required to construct their own interpretation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Question

- Federalist/Republican divisions over policy towards France
- the problems of finding a successor to Washington in 1796, as evidence of divisions
- controversy over the terms of Jay’s Treaty.
- In analysing the limitations of the given interpretation, answers might use knowledge and understanding of:
  - the political divisions between Federalists and Republicans/Anti-Federalists
  - the impact of the French Revolution on political divisions
  - sectional divisions between North and South, not caused by Foreign Policy
  - evidence of unity such as the acceptance of Jefferson as Vice President in 1796
  - the prevailing political ideal of harmony and a rejection of a party-based system
  - foreign policy successes which were welcomed such as the Treaty of San Lorenzo
  - common identity established in opposition to, particularly, Britain.

#### Other interpretations that might be used in evaluation of the given interpretation are:
- interpretations which recognise division in 1796, but which predominately attribute this to political rather than foreign policy considerations
- interpretations which see America as not significantly divided by 1796, particularly when compared to 1789.
## Assessment Objectives (AO) Grid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>AO1</th>
<th>AO2</th>
<th>AO3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>